



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,373		07/10/2001	Michael S. Olle	243768052US	8318
25096	7590	04/26/2004		EXAMINER	
PERKINS	COIE L	LP	GARLAND, STEVEN R		
PATENT-S	EA				
P.O. BOX 1	247			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE,	WA 98	111-1247	2125		
		,		DATE MAILED: 04/26/2004	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

L

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
	09/903,373	OLLE ET AL.	9
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven R Garland	2125	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a repoly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this comm NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 A	August 2002.		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	•	· •	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10,12-22,24-40,42-45 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) 11,23 and 41 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ewn from consideration. ed. or election requirement. er.		
 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 January 2002 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E 	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand ction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). c) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Ap prity documents have been r nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)

Application/Control Number: 09/903,373

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-10, 12-22, 24-40, and 42-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malin et al. 2002/0007289 in view of Kirkevold et al. 6,263,322 (cited by applicant).

Malin et al. teaches receiving repair orders, creating a repair plan for a repair order, performing one or more repair tasks associated with the repair plan, one or more people performing the repairs, a pool of technicians, description of repair tasks, signaling task completion (finish time), providing status information to various users including a customer, use of a database, use of a computer and software modules, use of inspections, and receiving approval for the repairs. Malin also teaches use of

Application/Control Number: 09/903,373

Art Unit: 2125

standard and customized plans, storing the repair order and associated repair plan in a database. See the abstract; figures; paragraphs 0005,0006, 0013; 0014; 0031-0037; 0042;0045; 0048; 0050; 0054-0062; 0064-0071; 0077; 0083; and the claims.

Malin however does not specifically state that the technician selects a repair order or selects the repair step, but does teach that the technician can be one of a pool of technicians, that the technician can select the tasks and signal when they are completed (finish time). Malin also does not specifically state that a customized repair plan is approved, but does teach repair approval.

Kirkevold et al. teaches allowing the technician to select a repair order and review the selected tasks. See col. 6, lines 12-32.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Malin in view of Kirkevold to allow each a technician in the pool of technicians to select a repair order, and then select a repair task that can be performed. This would allow the pool of technicians to be utilized to the fullest extent possible and allow other technicians to perform a task if the assigned technician is not available. Further this would insure that a qualified technician performs the repair or insure that the repairs are performed in the correct order.

Further it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Malin and Kirkevold to require approval before performing a customized repair, since this would help insure that the customer and/or insurance company would be satisfied by the repairs and would pay the bill.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,373

Art Unit: 2125

- 4. Claims 11, 23, and 41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Jarrett 6,345,257 is of interest in performing repairs.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven R Garland whose telephone number is 703-305-9759. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard, can be reached on 703-308-0538. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven R Garland
Examiner

512-0

Art Unit 2125

LEO PICARD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 4